CANADIAN ISSUES SERIES

Number 50



The Cult of Kalergi: The Planned Replacement of the European People

By Paul Fromm

Paul Fromm

Fromm is the Director of the Canadian Association for Free Expression and the Canada First Immigration Reform Committee. On introducing himself to newcomers, Mr. Fromm often quips: "I have two issues: free speech and immigration. Not enough free speech and too much immigration."

A lifelong anti-communist, Mr. Fromm's views came from family experience. His father worked in Colombia in the oil business. His expat Canadian parents were nearly killed in 1948 during *La Bogatoza*, a communist insurgency in which I young Cuban, Fidel Castro got his start. His parents were caught in a crossfire between government troops and the communist insurgents.

Educated at the University of Toronto where he earned a B.A. in English Language and Literature, a B.Ed., and an MA in English and Linguistics. He pursued further graduate studies at the University of Waterloo, the University of San Francisco, and Webster College in" Missouri. Mr. Fromm was an English instructor for 25 years, hailed by his Director of Education as "an exemplary teacher." He was fred in 1997 after an extensive six-year campaign of agitation by Jewish groups, who opposed his views on free speech and immigration, which were always propounded outside the classroom..

Mr. Fromm is a writer and radio broadcaster. His writings have appeared in many publications, including the **Toronto Sun**, the **Globe and Mail**, **Farm and Country, The Review of the News**, the Catholic Register, the **Citizens Informer and American Opinion**. Mr. Fromm has a daily show of radio news and commentary "The Fighting Side of Me" available on AltRightTV.com.. He records at least one YouTube video of current commentary a week on a variety of topics. He has lectured in England (the London Forum), Italy, Malta, Australia, and across the U.S. and Canada. He does a regular series of lectures across Canada. In 2006, he did a 26-city speaking tour of Canada and the U.S. on "The Frankfurt School",

Mr. Fromm has visited South Africa several times, His interest goes back to the early 1970s, when he and several colleagues wrote and mass distributed a tabloid entitled "Christians Against Terrorism" which exposed the funding by many Christian churches of the terrorists in Angola, Mozambique, Rhodesia and South Africa.

Mr. Fromm is the International Director of the U.S-based Council of Conservative Citizens.

The Cult of Kalergi:

The Planned Replacement of the European People

By Paul Fromm, B.A., B.Ed., M.A.

c 2021 Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform Inc.
Rexdale, Ontario

ISSN #712-4805

Introduction

The backbone of this booklet is a talk I gave to a meeting of the Japan First Party in Tokyo, in December, 2019. Europe, North America, Australia, New Zealand and Japan are all the intended victims of what I call the Cult of Kalergi, a powerful nightmare concocted and ably promoted by Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi. His vision, backed by powerful money, eventually became the European Union. It may be an economic union on the surface, but the sinister goal of Kalergi was the elimination of the nations and ethnicities of Europe and their replacement with some mixed-race New Man and ruling over this new dystopia would be a Jewish moral elite. That, of course, was kept hidden from many people who welcomed fewer economic barriers and greater co-operation.

However, the active co-operation by most of the leaders of the European Union in facilitating the outright Third World invasion-by-refugee of Europe reveals the deeper agenda. Nations like Poland and Hungary who have resisted accepting hordes of culturally unassimilable "refugees" have been threatened with fines and sanctions by the EU leadership. It has become clear that the ethnic replacement of the peoples of Europe is the real goal of the EU leadership, faithful followers of the dystopian dream of Coudenhove-Kalergi.

Japan too, as my Japan First Party hosts have noted, also faces international pressure to allow mass immigration and more "diversity", despite the fact that it is an overcrowded country and many parts of the mountainous islands are not conducive to dense populations.

Eliminating nationalities will produce a rootless mixture with no history and little attachment to the land. Such people will be easier to control, as they have no national loyalty or loyalty to place and soil. That might serve the purposes of some multi-national corporations and individuals and would-be leaders who seek control over people.

It is not acceptable to any person proud of his/her people and country. It is not acceptable to me, a son of the founding/settler people of the Dominion of Canada, "the true North, strong and (formerly) free.

I especially want to thank Makoto Sakurai, President of the Japan First Party and his Kazuhiro Nakamura, his friendly and able deputy.

As well, I'd like to thank Czech-trained demographer, videographer and lecturer Jerry Vila for his insightful observations on the catastrophic results of below-replacement birthrates -- a menace to all of Europe, North America and Japan -- and his warnings that welcoming large numbers of dissimilar immigrants means replacing our children with theirs. He has also warned that the high taxation needed to pay for immigrants who take more in tax benefits than they contribute in taxes (now over $40-billion a year deficit, according to economist Dr. Herb Grubel) makes it more difficult for young Canadians to buy homes and form families.

Also, I want to acknowledge and thank Grace (Eki) Oyama, my translator. She is an extraordinary woman, a gifted linguist, artist, animal rights advocate and devoted disciple of Japanese writer and visionary Ryu Ota.

I want to thank the tireless revisionist videographer Diane King for her help with some nasty technical problems in the preparation of this manuscript.

Finally, I want to thank a fine craftsman our printer Tony driven out of business by this province's hysterical anti-free enterprise (as well as anti-religious practice, anti-free assembly) COVID measures, labelled in Orwellian fashion as the Re-opening Ontario Act.

Rexdale, Ontario
January 20, 2021

Paul Fromm
Research Director

Thank you very much Madam Chairman.

The choice is nationalism and survival or globalism and extinction.

So, I salute you as fellow nationalists. A great English author William Shakespeare in his play, **Julius Caesar** has Marc Antony ask the citizens of Rome: "Who is so vile who will not love his country?" The crowd answers: "No one!"

I'm afraid to say the times have changed and the answer would have to be that there are many people do not love their country. British author David Goodhart has come up with an interesting idea. He divides people up into the "somewheres" and "anywheres."

You and I are "somewheres." That means we are attached to our lands, to the lands we have known with their history, and their language.

The "anywheres" have no attachment to the land or to our country. They may live in Tokyo today, Paris tomorrow, New York the next year and they have no attachment and no loyalty. They are rootless cosmopolitans. They look upon all people as the same. You are just an economic unit; you are either a producer or a consumer. It doesn't matter who you are. You are just an economic unit.

Because the word, "anywheres" is rather awkward, we call them globalists. The globalists despise nationalism because nationalism stands in the way of their gaining more power. They sometimes call themselves "citizens of the world." I am not a Canadian you are not Japanese, you are a citizen of the world. It's nonsense.

In the early 1980s, I was a reporter for **The Review of the News**. I covered a Futures Conference in Toronto. One of the speakers was a very well connected Canadian globalist conspirator named Maurice Strong. He had close ties to Red China. He would go on to head the 1992 UN conference in Rio de Janeiro, the Rio Conference, which brought us that discredited fraud called "Global Warming". He was glowingly introduced as a Canadian businessman and bureaucrat and heavy duty thinker. Before beginning his remarks, he rudely corrected the workshop chairman and said: "I am not a Canadian; I am a citizen of the world"

Our Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, sees himself as a "citizen of the world" and he will tell you that Canada has no culture, which brings us to the issue of immigration.

Beginning in 1965 there was an effort in Canada and in the United States, and in Australia, to change the immigration policy. It may not have been obvious at the time but the plan was to change the population in those countries from overwhelmingly European to eventually a Third World majority.

This immigration policy was a policy by stealth in that you are not honestly told what it was about. The only valid reason for immigration is if the country needs more labor. Through most of these years none of these countries but particularly Canada has had a labor shortage. In fact, for most of the time, we had a

chronic unemployment problem. So, we were basically lied to when we were told we needed immigration to grow the economy, and, indeed, we still are.

Canada's economy has been devastated by forced business closures and lockdowns caused by government over-reaction to the COVID hysteria. A staggering 8.9-million unemployed Canadians applied for CERB, the $2,000/month Canadian Emergency Response Benefit (**National Post**, May 20, 2020) Many subsequently returned to jobs; some did not. The jobs had vanished. However, with more lockdowns in Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta, and then the police state curfew in Quebec in January, unemployment rose again to 8.6% in December, with 63,000 jobs lost that month alone. Yet, with utter shamelessness and straight faces, government officials insist we need more immigration. Immigration Minister Marco Mendicino proclaims: "Immigration drives economic growth, spurs innovation and helps employers access the talent they need to thrive. Welcoming more newcomers will help to address the demographic challenges of an aging population and to compete and win in a competitive global marketplace." In a February 28 speech to the Canadian Club in Toronto, he made the wild claim that the "future of Canada hinges on immigration." Goldy Hyder, CEO of the Business Council of Canada and a vociferous advocate for higher immigration levels, insisted in the **Globe and Mail** (September 7, 2020):" Immigration enriches the social fabric of the nation while boosting the economy, helping to offset a low birth rate and an aging population. Immigrants bring energy, skills, new ideas and entrepreneurial spirit. They start companies, fill skill shortages, buy houses and pay taxes." You'd think, from Hyder's comments that Canadians were just dull, unimaginative layabouts. Immigrants, especially Third World immigrants (the vast majority), earn considerably less than Canadians and thus, pay far less in taxes. How can immigration drive economic growth if newcomers can't find jobs? The aging population bogeyman has been around since the 1970s. What sense does it make to bring in people today for jobs that might be opening in a decade? It's like putting a teenager on payroll in June to shovel your driveway in December, if it snows. Almost as if in response to Hyder, the loud voice for more immigration, in October the minister announced a stinging slap to unemployed Canadians. "Today, Canada announced its **2021-2023 Immigration Levels Plan**. Canada will target the highest level of immigration in its history." Canada will aim to import a staggering 401,000 immigrants in 2021.

The correct answer to the question of how many immigrants do we need was given many years ago by the great patriot, then Deputy Minister of Immigration Frederick Blair in 1939: "Mr. Prime Minister, none is too many."

What is really going on is an elitist scheme, not to meet labour needs but to change the population to make it more governable and to break up nationalism. Just to give you the figures from Canada and the United States, when John F. Kennedy was elected president of the United States in 1960, the United States was 90% European and about 10% black.

Because of new immigration act passed by Congress in 1965, the United States is now only 61% European founding settler/people. And at present immigration levels 85% from the Third World, the United States will be a majority non-White country, and the European founding/settler people will be a minority by the year 2041.

In Canada, in 1965, the population was 98% European. Most of the other 2% were Indian. At the last census, the European founding/settler people were only 78%. And with levels lower than those planned by the immigration architects in Ottawa, the European founding/settler people will be a minority by 2050.

Now historically, such a catastrophic population change usually only occurred because of an armed invasion, and conquest. Sometimes novelists and poets see things more clearly than us.[I'm pretty sure this novel has been translated into Japanese.] It's by a French journalist, Jean Raspail (1925-2020) Published in 1973, it was called **Camp of the Saints**. I can reassure you that it is not about religion. What Raspail said imagined is that France would be invaded, not by an army, but by rusty boatloads of people coming from India led by a charismatic pseudo-religious leader and he imagined the phenomenon called "the boat people" a decade before it actually happened. What the novel describes is how French society reacted to these boatloads and boatloads of people. Some French nationalists like us said: "Don't let them land." Some more militant people advised: "Blow the boats up!" But others said: "They are our brothers!" And they ran down to the shore and they embraced and welcomed them. I won't tell you the rest of the story, but, in the end, many of the dreamy-eyed idealists were massacred by the ungrateful newcomers.

Speaking of the dreamy-eyed idealist, I understand you, in Japan, had a visit from one recently. I hear you had a visit from Pope Francis. I am truly too respectful to offer anyone advice. I understand that the pope had some free advice for you. He said: "You must welcome in your refugees. You must welcome them to Japan." And we, in North America, hear the same thing from this interfering globalist. But I understand that many people in Japan told him that he was crazy and said: "We see the damage that refugees have done to Europe. So, don't give us free advice."

The Pope's meddling was consistent with the message he put out earlier in the year for the 2019 World Day of Refugees and Migrants, September 29: "An individualistic spirit is fertile soil for the growth of that kind of indifference towards our neighbours which leads to viewing them in purely economic terms, to a lack of concern for their humanity, and ultimately to feelings of fear and cynicism. Are these not the attitudes we often adopt towards the poor, the marginalized and the 'least' of society? And how many of these 'least' do we have in our societies! Among them I think primarily of migrants, with their burden of hardship and suffering, as they seek daily, often in desperation, a place to live in peace and dignity"

Beautiful Sweden has been inundated by some of the worst people in the world and Swedish women are not safe from being raped today. Now this probably doesn't translate very well, but in Europe, they are called "rapefugees", not refugees. In all of these cases, the population change has not been accomplished by an armed invasion or a war that we lost but it has being engineered by people at the very top. For both of us.

This is called the "Great Replacement Theory". Actually, I don't like the word theory because the word theory means speculation. This is a fact! Anyway, as Japan First Party Chairman Makoto Sakurai knows, one of the great enemies of freedom of speech in the United States is a group called the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith or the ADL. They recently said that the replacement theory is a right-wing conspiracy theory. The term theory has a bad reputation in North America today. It is like saying, "Elvis didn't really die. I know, I saw him at Kentucky Fried Chicken last night." Then, in the same statement, the ADL goes on to say well yes, America's population is changing. Immigration is making the difference and the European founding settler people will be a minority by about 2041. So, they say it is a conspiracy theory and, then, they go ahead and actually

explain that it's true, not a theory, but it's true. I don't know if Elvis was at the Kentucky Fried Chicken, but I do know we are being replaced.

And this is the challenge we nationalists have throughout Western Europe and North America and Japan: We are being targeted for replacement.

As people begin to wake up, the conspirators at the top have a problem. How do you explain to people something that they don't like. It's very sneaky and it's very subtle. I think it was in a scene from one of the Marx Brothers movies. A man goes into a restaurant and orders a bowl of soup. The bowl of soup comes and he notices that there is a fly in it. So, he calls the waiter over and he says: "Come here waiter. There is a fly in my soup."

So, the brazen quick-witted waiter whispers: "Don't tell anybody or everybody will want one." The lesson of that story is to explain something that is bad, be very bold and insist that it's good. And I'll get to the inventive and audacious explanation shortly.

Thus, people in North America have begun to realize that our cities are changing. We are being replaced. Just to give you an example, when I was growing up, Toronto was so British and so European, it was called "Little Belfast." Today, the European founding settler people are only 48% in Toronto, thus, in less than 50 years. overwhelmed and replaced. The other largest city in English Canada is Vancouver. The European founding/ settler people are in minority there, replaced by the Chinese. Of course, we have never had a national discussion or debate on this replacement by government policy. Needless, to say Canadians have never been asked in an election whether they welcome their own replacement, although polls show that they do not.

Professor Kevin Macdonald in his brilliant study **A Culture of Critique** notes the uncanny fact that a previously mostly Europeans-only immigration policy in place in Canada, the United States (the Immigration Act of 1924) and Australia (the White Australia Policy) were all replaced in 1965 (actually, in Australia's case in 1966 and definitively in 1973) by open door immigration policies which slowly, at first, and then more and more rapidly ushered in mass demography changing Third World immigration. These policy changes, he pointed out, were not in response to popular demand but as a result of several decades of lobbying by Jewish groups. I was just beginning to take an interest in politics in 1965, and can

assert that there were no mass protests complaining "we are bored living in a predominantly European country; we want to be replaced by Africans, Latin Americans and Asians." There were a few "ban the bomb" demonstrations in those days and the beginning of opposition to the U.S. war in Vietnam, but no call for open door immigration.

In Canada, the changes were brought in by stealth. In 1975, before enshrining an open door immigration policy into a new comprehensive Act -- previous loosening of the rules had been done piecemeal -- the Liberal Government of the day held Parliamentary hearings across the country. Almost 50 per cent of the 1,600 briefs from groups and individuals called for a halt to all immigration. A further 22.4 per cent called for tight controls. Just 7 per cent wanted an open door policy. Of the 1,208 briefs from individuals, 60 per cent said "stop all non-White immigration"; a further 23 per cent wanted tight controls; just two per cent wanted an open door policy! The politicians sided with the tiny minority, with a double body blow to public opinion, and legislated a demography altering open door policy and increased numbers.

And in the 45 years since, whether the ruling party was the Liberals or the Conservatives, the result was the same, as if by a conspiratorial agreement, immigration numbers went ever upward and always with a growing flood from the Third World. Canadians have consistently opposed increased immigration. Indeed, poll after poll shows only a slim minority wanting increased immigration numbers and many people not wanting the racial makeup of the country changed. A Gallup Poll in June, 1987, asked: "Do you think the size and content of immigration should be permitted to change our ethnic and cultural balance?" A staggering 77.6 per cent said NO!

They were ignored. Governments had learned their lesson. No more would people be allowed a say, except in the most controlled of conditions. Open public hearings were replaced with consultations with "stakeholders". Now, if you are trusting and naive, you might conclude that, as a taxpayer and Canadian citizen, that would include you, but it does not. "Stakeholders" are like-minded members of the immigration lobby who benefit from large scale immigration: self-serving ethnic groups, social workers, pro-immigration or refugee academics, ESL teachers, religious groups seeking new members, immigrant settlement (all government-funded) groups, etc. They may have slightly different emphases but all agree on large numbers and an open door. Critics are not included.

To the extent any justification was offered for the changes, it was suggested that the new policies were "anti-discriminatory" -- fitting in with one of the popular liberal slogans of the times.

The changes were introduced with deception and dissembling. In the U.S., a few senators wondered whether these changes might cost American jobs or change the racial balance in the U.S. Absolutely not, a young Senator Edward Kennedy assured his fellow senators. Now, a kindly soul might imagine that a policy billed as a means to allow a few qualified non-European immigrants into the U.S. had expanded with unforeseen demography changing results. However, Sen. Kennedy never backtracked or apologized. Indeed, four decades later and right up to his death in 2009, by now the Lion of the Senate, he was labouring mightily for amnesty for illegals, almost all from the Third World. No, the changing demography was no accident; it was the plan.

Still, the globalists have a problem. So, like the waiter in the Marx Brothers skit, to explain the fly, they say it is a good thing. About 20 years ago, the ADL came up with a slogan that said: " Diversity is our greatest strength!"

Very soon afterward, then-President Clinton said that in a speech in San Diego. He was and is a Democrat and a liberal and not too long afterward, our own prime minister at the time, Stephen Harper, who was a conservative, at the UN conference in Vancouver, enthused: "Diversity is our greatest strength!" If you are rational and not on cocaine, an educated mostly industrious population, Canada's abundant hydroelectric power, natural resources like oil, natural gas, gold, coal, potash, uranium, timber ... all of those are greater strengths than diversity!

But modern advertising has found that if you repeat a slogan often enough, people will believe it. So now, big corporations in Canada and in the United States, public bodies, cities and so on, boards of education, all say: "Diversity is our greatest strength!"

The only other major Canadian politician besides myself who has questioned diversity is the leader of the new People's Party of Canada, Maxime Bernier. He said, and I think it's very perceptive: "Too much diversity breaks up unity. How are we going to have a united country if it is diverse?"

On a previous visit to Japan, I tried the diversity argument on a Japanese person I know. I said: "Well, our rulers in the west say, 'diversity is our greatest strength.'" Then, I said: 'Wouldn't Japan be a better country if you took in, say 10 million people from Africa? And 10 million people from Latin America? And 10 million people from the Middle East?" And my contact was , as all Japanese people are, and he looked at me as if I had had too much sake. Or maybe I was just joking. and then he said something that was so brilliant and so effective. He said, "but then we wouldn't be Japanese." And that is the nationalist answer and that is the answer I hope you will give.

I worry about the accusation that the replacement explanation is just some sort of a conspiracy theory. We know what is what's going on in Europe with the European Union. There is a little bit of a Japanese connection here. The evil godfather of the European Union was a man named Richard Count Coudenhove-Kalergi. Kalergi's father was an Austro-Hungarian diplomat who was stationed in Japan and his mother was Japanese. In 1922, Kalergi wrote a book in German **Praktischer Idealismus**, **Practical Idealism** in English. He was very well connected. He was an aristocrat and socialized with people like the Habsburg family. Big Jewish bankers gave him a lot of money. He was a very active Freemason in the lodge in Vienna. What he said was that he envisioned a Europe without borders. There would be no more French, no more Germans, no more Italians. There would be a new European man. And he said the new European man would be a mixture of the African and the Oriental. He would look something like the ancient Egyptians. He must have failed anthropology because the ancient Egyptians didn't look like that. And ruling over this mixed population would be a new moral aristocracy of Jews.

But that's not the way the European Union was sold to people. They were told that such a union would reduce some of the barriers at the border and result better trade relations and so on. And if that is all it had been, who could object? But as the European bureaucracy became more powerful by the 1970s, then they began to implement Kalergi's real vision. How are you going to replace the Italians, the French, and the Germans without immigration? Kalergi followers like Angela Merkel, or politicians like Nicolas Sarkozy are all committed to massive Third World immigration into Europe because how are you going to create Kalergi's vision of the future of eliminating nationalities without a massive influx of foreigners and that is what is being done. These politicians and the supporting media, academic and big business elites are the **Cult of Kalergi**. It is a cult dedicated to the eradication of nationalities and sovereign states. The Cult has

elitist adherents in dominant roles in Canada, the United States, Australia and, I fear, even in Japan.

Part of the ecstatic applause and enthusiasm that greeted Donald Trump, in 2016, was his willingness to tackle at least part of the immigration issue. Many White Americans know that their country is being changed out from under them. Their neighbourhoods have been made "diverse" through mass immigration; their town's main street has been hollowed out and much business moved to a WalMart super store stuffed with cheap Chinese imports; and many of their decent paying jobs have been outsourced to China or Mexico or Vietnam. All the while, campuses and much of the mass media blare accusations that they, Whites, are the cause of most of America's problems. They, the victims of minority-preference "affirmative action", are derided for White Privilege.

Samuel Francis, a close advisor to Pat Buchanan, a former staffer to North Carolina Senator John East, and writer for **Chronicles**, 20 years ago urged the abandonment of "conservatism" and promoted the embrace of racial nationalism. Mainstream Republicans, focused narrowly on money, and Democrats, wildly courting anti-White minorities had both abandoned White working Americans. Both welcomed the demographic invasion by the Third World, which, by 2041 will render the European founding/settler people of the United States a minority in the land they built. The Republicans rubbed their hands with glee at the thought of cheap labour -- legal or illegal. The Democrats rejoiced in the replacement of Whites whom they detest.

Samuel Francis wrote: "Middle American forces, emerging from the ruins of the old independent middle and working classes, found conservative, libertarian, and pro-business Republican ideology and rhetoric irrelevant, distasteful and even threatening to their own socioeconomic interests. The post Second World War Middle Class was, in reality, an affluent proletariat, economically dependent on the federal government through labour codes, housing loans, educational programmes, defence contracts and health and unemployment benefits. All variations of conservative doctrine rejected these. ... Yet, at the same time, the Ruling Class proved unable to uproot the social, cultural and national identities and loyalties of the Middle American proletariat and Middle Americans found themselves increasingly alienated from the political left and its embrace of anti-national policies, and counter-cultural manners and morals."

"Black lives matter," they are told, but it is Whites who are overwhelmingly the victim of inter-racial crime.

In late August, 2016 at a White House gathering, "White House Summit on Countering Violent Extremism" then Vice-President, now President Joe Biden hailed the swift replacement of America's European founding/settler people as a "strength", as a good thing. Even an amoeba fights for its own survival. Biden enthused and hailed "an unrelenting stream of immigration; non-stop, non-stop. Folks like me who are Caucasian of European descent, for the first time in 2017(his dates were wrong, but his enthusiasm at the demise of his own people was both palpable and frightening) will be in an absolute minority in the United States of America, absolute minority. Fewer than fifty percent of the people in America, from then and on, will be White, European stock. That's not a bad thing. That's a source of our strength." He is technically wrong: Whites are not yet a minority. However, his enthusiasm for the replacement of his own people and his insistence that is inevitable speaks to the moral depravity and illness of our ruling class.

The year 2015 saw the wholesale invasion of Europe by Third Worlders, variously described as "refugees" or "migrants". Unlike the emaciated, bedraggled refugees of yesteryear, these were mostly robust young men, well dressed and chattering on their cellphones. They had the money to pay greedy gangsters and people smugglers. By boat or by land, they surged into hapless, overwhelmed Greece, arrogantly battled with police and tried to force their way north to the handout capitals of Europe – Germany and Sweden.

Germany accepted over a million and a half Third World migrants who promptly paid back the long-suffering German taxpayers, who must pony up over $13,500 to support each migrant, by the mass assault and rape of German and other European women during New Year's public gatherings in Cologne, Hamburg, Vienna, Salzburg and Stockholm. The police tried to downplay the fact that the attackers were organized Moslems. The press too initially joined the cover-up. However, the social media – cellphones, You Tubes, Twitter, e-mail – allowed scenes of assaults to go viral. There were reports of Germans being expelled from their apartments to make room for the invaders. Other You Tubes showed Moslems molesting bathers, male and female, at public pools and defecating in the

water. Pathetic German police advised women to dress modestly and cover their heads with scarves so as not to provoke or "turn on" feral, young male invaders!

Yet, according to most the media, the villains were the "extreme Right" who protested the outrages of the unwanted "guests". Police visited outspoken critics of the invasion who had posted their views on Facebook, threatening them with the loss of their jobs or even the loss of their children.

Why would European leadership be so unable or unwilling to deal with the invasion?

How do we make sense of German Chancellor Angela Merkel's decision to welcome over 1.5-million largely unassimilable Third Worlders at a time when there were no jobs for them – Germany, the best off country in Europe, still had over 6 per cent unemployment.

Merkel is a former communist. Then, known as Angela Kasner she was propaganda secretary in the Young Communist League. She may have shed her economic communism but remains a dedicated cultural Marxist. She is militantly anti-nationalist. Her immigration policy will help displace or replace the German people.

The ideas behind the European Union were not a benign move toward more economic co-operation, peaceful coexistence and a breaking down of barriers.

The evil genius behind the European Union, as already mentioned, was Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972), son of an Austro-Hungarian diplomat and a Japanese upper class woman. In 1922, he founded the Pan European Movement. He quickly attracted leftist support and money. Early supporters of his ideas included Sigmund Freud and Albert Einstein, and leftist politicians like Thomas Masaryk and Edvard Benes of Czechoslovakia; Ignaz Seipel and Karl Renner of Austria; and Aristide Briand and Leon Blum of France.

In 1924, German Jewish banker Max Warburg gave him a war chest of 60,000 gold marks. In 1925, Coudenhove-Kalergi travelled to the U.S. and lined up

more funding from financiers Paul Warburg and Bernard Baruch. In the '30s and war years, the European Union movement became dormant.

After the war, Coudenhove-Kalergi attracted support for his idea from Winston Churchill, B'nai Brith the Jewish lobby group and the **New York Times**. In 1947, Coudenhove-Kalergi became General Secretary of the newly formed European Parliamentary Union.

The Europe of the future, for Coudenhove-Kalergi, would no longer have independent countries; it would no longer even have distinct nationalities. The New European would be a mixed-raced individual. In his blueprint for this genocidal nightmare **Praktischer Idealismus** (Practical Idealism), he describes the new European: "*The man of the future will be of mixed race. The races and classes of today will gradually disappear due to the elimination of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-negroid race of the future, similar in appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples and the diversity of individuals."* And Jews will *"the spiritual nobility of Europe."* His father had written a book denouncing anti-Semitism and had been a close friend of Theodore Herzl, the founder of Zionism. Coudenhove-Kalergi's his first romantic encounter had been with the future actress Ida Roland, who was Jewish. Interestingly, this was a relationship his Japanese mother strongly opposed.

Mass Third World immigration would be the means to changing the make-up of Europe's population by replacing Europeans and leading to the race-mixing that would produce the rootless population of Coudenhove-Kalergi's dream.

Very significant, according to Coudenhove-Kalergi himself, was the Jewish backing for his intensely anti-nationalist plans: "At the beginning of 1924," he wrote, "we received a call from Baron Louis de Rothschild; one of his friends, Max Warburg from Hamburg, had read my book and wanted to get to know us. ... Warburg generously offered 60,000 gold marks to tide the movement over its first three years. Max Warburg, who was one of the most distinguished and wisest men I have ever come into contact with, had a principle of financing these movements. He remained sincerely interested in Pan-Europe for his entire life. Max Warburg arranged my 1925 trip to the United States to introduce me to Paul Warburg and financier Bernard Baruch," Jewish, as well, it might be noted.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel is an ideological daughter of Coudenhove-Kalergi. In fact, in 2010, she was the recipient of the Coudenhove-Kalergi Prize. For her, the hordes of "migrants" presented not a challenge or threat

but a golden opportunity to bring more Third Worlders into Germany which has a below-replacement birth rate. Many of the African and Middle Easterners have families of 5, 6, or 7 children.

Mrs. Merkel made a telling comment, in 2004, when Carl-Eduard Graf von Bismarck, great-grandson of Count Otto von Bismarck married a Canadian Jew Nathalie Berman. "You two have united history. ... The next Prince of Bismarck will be a mix of both religions, " she told the couple. Actually, she's wrong. Judaism is passed on through the mother and the children will not be a "mix" but will, in fact, be Jewish.

An ideological son of the vile White-hating Coudenhove-Kalergi is Merkel's sidekick Vice Chancellor and Leader of the Social Democratic Party Sigmar Gabriel. He recently lost his temper and tossed the finger at young anti-immigration protesters in Salzgitter. He threw them the finger because the protesters alluded to his father's past. Gabriel's Father, Walter Gabriel, remained a staunch Nationalist up until his death in 2012, criticizing his own son for being hopelessly indoctrinated and his socialist party as harmful to Germany.

Stung by the healthy rejection by many Germans of being replaced by Third World hordes, Gabriel has called for the jailing of anybody protesting uncontrolled mass immigration. He refers to nationalists as "lowlife scum, unworthy of being called German" and implied that any refugee is more German than a nationalist could ever be. He frequently refers to Europe as the "United States of Europe", considers Germany a country of immigration, openly callS for abolishing national borders, advertises for giving anybody dual citizenship and wastes no opportunity to express his contempt for his home country.

Since the eight century, Europe has repeatedly been invaded by militant Moslems. For centuries, they raided coastal areas and kidnapped millions of Europeans to be taken to Turkey or North Africa to be used as slaves or sex slaves, if they were women. As recently, as 1683 the Turks were at the gates of Vienna and their invasion was halted by Polish King Jan Sobieski leading the largest cavalry charge in history -- 20,000 horsemen

Yet, the present Pope Francis, a radical leftist from Argentina. enthusiastically welcomes the Third World, especially Moslem, invasion of Europe. In 2015, he urged Catholic parish to adopt a Moslem family. He as much as called then presidential candidate Donald Trump un-Christian. The man who lives inside the well protected Vatican proclaimed that ànyone who wants to build

a wall is not a Christian. Thus, Europe's most prominent Christian leader endorses the replacement of Christians and Europeans.

He has reacted furiously to the rise of populism and the election of Donald Trump. The Pope all but equates populism with Hitler and National Socialism The day Donald Trump was inaugurated, the Pope told the Spanish newspaper ***El Pais***: "*Crises provoke fear, alarm.*" "In my opinion, the most obvious example of European populism is Germany in 1933. Germany is broken, looking to get itself going, looking for its identity, a leader, someone capable of restoring its character, and there is a young man named Adolf Hitler who says: 'I can, I can.'

This was too much for some conservative Christians who do not want to see Whites and overwhelmed and replaced. Pope Francis has been criticized by "the top Catholic leader in southern Hungary, Bishop Laszlo Kiss-Rigo, who said the pontiff was wrong in saying that Catholics had a moral duty to help the hundreds of thousands of Middle Eastern refugees streaming into Europe. "They're not refugees. This is an invasion," said Kiss-Rigo. "They come here with cries of 'Allahu Akbar.' They want to take over. Europe is being overwhelmed by non-believers posing as refugees who pose a serious threat to the continent's Christian, universal values," the bishop said.

Former French President Nicolas Sarkozy, born a Hungarian Jew, was explicit in a December, 2008 speech? Showing himself a faithful disciple of Kalergi, he insisted that interracial sex between the French and the Third World newcomers is not just desirable but should be mandatory. He enthused about a future of "*metissage*" or race mixing and warned that, if people did not do it voluntarily, "it may be necessary for the Republic to resort to even more forcible methods." So much for the State staying out of the nation's bedrooms.

Present French President Emmanuel Macron is another true son of Kalergi. Red Ice Radio reported: "'Bombshell' population growth in Africa means Europe is entering an 'unprecedented' age of mass migration, Emmanuel Macron has said, asserting that the two continents' destinies are 'bound. The migratory phenomenon we are facing will be historic,' declared the French president in a television appearance Sunday night, when he announced that 'great poverty', 'climate change', and 'geopolitical conflicts' will see Africans flooding into Europe 'for many years to come'.

To back up his point, Macron pointed to 'bombshell' population growth across the Third World continent, which he added has been 'tremendously well described' by French-American journalist and professor Stephen Smith in a recent book. '[Africans] are mostly turning to Europe because the continent [of Europe] is not an island, because of our location, and because Europe has its destiny bound with Africa," stated the vehemently pro-EU French figure during a two-hour long interview.

Smith, the Africa expert to whom Macron referred during his appearance on BFMTV, estimates that the number of Africans living in Europe **will grow from nine million to between 150 million and 200 million within the next 30 years.**

In **The Rush to Europe**, the Duke University professor describes a massive, unprecedented population transfer still in its infancy, which he explains will — 'paradoxically' — be triggered largely as a result of Western aid money financing would-be migrants' journeys from Africa."

Most of Europe's elites, Christian and secular, are committed to Coudenhove-Kalergi's goal of replacing European man. It may be left to populists like Victor Orban of Hungary, Marine LePen and others to save both White Europeans and Christians from the invasion enabled by the elites.

And that is the battle nationalists are fighting throughout the world. Our enemies and the globalists basically want to replace us. Because if you are proud of who you are and you know who you are, you are more difficult to control.

Some people say that Canada is a hotel nation and that is good. A "hotel nation" is one to which people have no intrinsic loyalty, no sense of being connected to the soil. It is simply a place, like a hotel, to stay while you take advantage of welfare or other benefits.

This leads me to the issue of multiculturalism, as I have been asked to say a few words about that.

Multicultural can be an objective description. So, it would be true that Canada is multicultural in that people from other cultures live there. But in Canada, it goes beyond that. Our law says that we must promote multiculturalism. I agree that there is such a thing as cancer. I'm a realist. But I don't want to promote cancer, as something everyone should have.

Multiculturalism, as it is explained to us, proclaims that all cultures are equal. Who can believe that!? Even the newcomers don't believe that. If all cultures are equal, I would say to somebody who is coming here from China, why are you here? If your culture is as good as mine, why are you not back in your country, enjoying your culture? Once you believe this mental poisoning, that all cultures are equal, the next step is that you have to accommodate all these different and conflicting cultures. So, in Canada now we have a uniform in police forces and the military. However, for special people, like people who want to wear a turban, the uniform requirement (that is, one form for all) is dropped and they can substitute their own preference. For Moslem women who want have to wear a *burqa* (a covering from head to foot with a gauze screen over the eyes) or a *niqab* (the same as a *burqa*, but with a slit for the eyes), in Canadian Courts, there is even an effort to allow these women to hide basically behind the mask.

The difference between traditional immigration and an invasion is that, with immigration, the immigrant, the newcomer, is expected to change or adapt. With an invasion, the hapless inhabitants of the host country are forced to change or adapt. That is what has happened to Canada under multiculturalism.

Thus, multiculturalism leads to great disunity and it breaks up the dominant culture. The people in a country without a group identity are far easier to control. That is really the goal of the globalists. I can respect any culture. And there is probably something we can learn from any culture. But you cannot build a healthy system when you say all cultures are equal. For example, I would not accept a culture that practises female genital mutilation or forced marriages. And so the only way to have a healthy country where there are many cultures is to have the culture of the founding/settler people dominate. At this point, you can almost hear the strident objection: "That is White supremacy!" No, it is the right of the host people to set the rules and not have their culture or ways changed. Furthermore, as to supremacy, by choosing to leave their failed states, which often failed because of a failed culture, the newcomers are acknowledging the superiority of the country which often, by means legal or illegal, they have sought to enter. Given that, why should they be indulged to practise their culture, where it conflicts with the host culture, to bring the very failed culture which drove them to flee here in the first place?

So, what our job is as nationalists, is, in many ways, to help people think. It is to not treat people as stupid because they are not but it is to help people feel confident to see behind the lies and the slogans. It is also to help them feel

confident in themselves to resist slogans like, "Diversity is our greatest strength". I wouldn't pretend to be an expert about Japan. But I do know, that in the West, Europe and North America one of the biggest enemies that we have to fight is guilt. We have to again find the moral strength to say: "I am proud of who I am and I want to take my country back."